

Federal Bar Association - Eastern District of Michigan Chapter - 41 years of service to our Federal Bench and Bar John Mayer, Executive Director PO Box 310610, Detroit, MI 48226-0610 Phone 734-246-2199 Fax 734-246-3359 fbamich@fbamich.org

State Of The Court Luncheon Coming September 18, 2003

The Eastern District of Michigan Chapter of the Federal Bar Association will kick off its annual Luncheon Program on Thursday, September 18, 2003 at the Crowne Plaza Pontchartrain Hotel. The reception will begin at 11:30 a.m., with a luncheon following at 12:00 noon. The featured speaker will be Chief Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff, who will deliver the annual "State of the Court" address. Tickets are \$25 for Chapter members and \$30 for non-members. Law firm sponsorships are still available for this luncheon and the three luncheons to be held in the coming months. To register online for the luncheon, visit the Chapter's website at <u>www.fbamich.org</u> and click on Events and Activities. For more information, contact Program Chair Julia Blakeslee at (248) 855-6729.

US Attorney Taps Jonathan Tukel For Leadership Post By: Matthew Schneider*

On August 19th, 2003, United States Attorney Jeffrey Collins announced the appointment of Jonathan Tukel First Assistant U. S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan. Tukel's selection came less than two months after he accepted a position as an Associate Deputy At-

INSIDE THIS ISSUE	
News From National	pg.2-3
Annual Dinner	pg.3-4
From Dave Weaver	pg.4
Electronic Case Filing	pg.5
"Judges Are Not Like"	
	pg.5-6
Judge Shapero	pg.6-7
Christie Retires	pg.7-8
Gilman Award	pg.8
Million Dollar Courtroom	
	pg.9
Immigration Seminar	pg.9
Summer Associate/Inte	rn pg. 10

torney General in Washington, D.C. Tukel replaces Alan Gershel who decided to devote his full-time energy to the position of Chief of the Criminal Division, a position he held, along with the duties of First Assistant, for the past ten years. Gershel served in both roles under

(see page 2)



President's Column

Dennis J. Clark

The Eastern District of Michigan Chapter of the Federal Bar Association has focused its mission on three principles:

1. **Professionalism** – to promote professionalism, education, civility and ethics within the federal bench and bar.

2. **Service** – to provide service to the federal bench and bar.

3. **Social Responsibility** – to advance social responsibility in our administration of justice at the federal court and through our contacts in the community.

The Chapter continues to present programs and activities with this mission in mind.

On the agenda for the fall is the State of the Court Luncheon on September 16, when Chief Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff will address members of the judiciary and bar. On November 18, in partnership with the Court Historical Society, the Rakow Luncheon will feature an excellent educational presentation. Additional learning experiences in the next few months will include an immigration law seminar, which promises to appeal to a broad range of practitioners who may encounter immigration issues in their practice; and a patent/trademark seminar, which also will be of interest to many of us in this high-tech world.

Over the summer, the Chapter co-sponsored a successful Appellate Practice Seminar and presented a well-received (first annual) Summer Associates Program.

Our ability to communicate has been greatly enhanced by an expanded and improved newsletter and the use of e-mail. Also, the Chapter's website (<u>www.fbamich.org</u>) offers a wealth of information and links to valuable resources, including the national FBA's website (<u>www.fedbar.org</u>).

A great deal is happening in the FBA. We invite you to get involved, join a committee, attend the luncheons and seminars and participate any way you can in this organization. It will be a worthwhile part of your professional life.

Tukel (continued)

U.S. Attorney Collins and former U.S. Attorney Saul Green. Gershel also has served as Acting-U.S. Attorney in the past. Tukel will assume the position of First Assistant on September 15th.

Prior to joining Main Justice, Tukel, 42, prosecuted white-collar and drug criminals for thirteen years while serving as an Assistant U.S. Attorney in Detroit. His promotion to Associate Deputy Attorney General, where he served as an advisor to Attorney General John Ashcroft, marked the biggest jump made by an Assistant U.S. Attorney from Detroit in recent

memory.

As Associate Deputy Attorney General Tukel served as one of five top aides to Deputy Attorney General Larry Thompson, the secondhighest ranking Justice Department official. Tukel worked on criminal policy issues with the White House, Members of Congress, and the Justice Department. As First Assistant in the Eastern District, Tukel will be U. S. Attorney Collins' top Assistant and will oversee the day-to-



FBA President Kent S. Hofmeister, Associate Justices Stephen G. Breyer, David Hackett Souter, and Anthony M. Kennedy, and Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist

day operations of the Office. At present approximately 100 attorneys and 100 support staff work in the Eastern District. Deputy Attorney General Thompson commented that "Jonathan brings with him good judgment and a commitment to excellence. I know that he will continue to distinguish himself in his new position."

Michigan Supreme Court Justice Stephen J. Markman hired Tukel in 1990, when Markman was U.S. Attorney. Markman said recently, "Jon Tukel is not only an outstanding prosecutor, but he is also a person of great judgment and insight. I know he will make an enormous contribution to the work of the Attorney General."

Tukel was raised in Oak Park. He graduated with honors from the University of Michigan in 1982, earning a philosophy degree. He then served on the staffs of Michigan Congressman Bob Davis (R), the National Republican Congressional Committee, and the National Republican Senatorial Committee. Tukel graduated with high honors from the U-M Law School in 1988. He also has distinguished himself as a leader in conservative legal thinking. He is member of the Federalist Society for Law and Public Policy Studies, a Conservative and Libertarian legal group.

After law school, Tukel joined Honigman, Miller, Schwartz and Cohn in Detroit as a litigator. In 1990, then-U.S. Attorney Markman named him to Staff, and Tukel prosecuted drug trafficking cases for the next five years. In 1995, he moved to the Special Prosecutions Unit where he prosecuted public corruption and white-collar crime cases.

"I'm thrilled," Tukel said. "It's a huge honor even to be considered for these positions."

Tukel's wife is a registered nurse. He has two chil-

dren, 7 months and 21 months old.

* M a t t h e w Schneider is an Assistant U. S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan.

News From National By: Brian D. Figot

Federal Judicial Pay: FBA Leads The Charge

The Federal Bar Association has an extensive "Legislative

Issues Agenda" which is dictated by its more than eighty local chapters. While each of the eighteen active items on the agenda is prefaced with the goal to "support the federal judiciary . . . including support for . . . " particular items, the item at the top of this list is:

• Equitable compensation for the federal judiciary, including regular periodic pay adjustments, that promotes the recruitment and retention of the highest quality of jurists to the federal bench

(The entire list is available at <u>http://www.fedbar.org/</u> <u>agenda.html.</u>)

The FBA believes that the erosion of judicial pay over the past generation is a crucial issue in need of immediate attention. It is more than just a matter of money and the assurance of stable income. Rather, it is a question of systemic stability and the long term independence of the third branch.

The empirical data and underlying arguments for reform are set forth, in great detail, in Federal Judicial Pay: An Update on the Urgent Need for Action, which the FBA and the American Bar Association jointly presented in May of this year to Chief Justice Rehnquist and Associate Justices Breyer, Souter and Kennedy. This Report supplements and reinforces the first FBA/ABA study, Federal Judicial Pay Erosion: A Report on the Need for Reform, which was published in 2001.

Essentially, the problem is the statutory linkage between congressional and judicial salaries, with Congress setting the structure. Members of Congress, facing an angry elec-

torate, and being privately funded in any event, have resisted salary and COLA increases for political reasons. As a result, federal judges have sustained a loss in purchasing power and a relative decline in remuneration, and have been ravaged by inflation and left with stagnant income while others in the legal profession have leapt forward. The FBA/ABA study concludes:

> Over the course of the past three decades, judicial salaries have declined in value, while the salary of the average American

Christine Dowhan-Bailey, Immediate Past President and Dennis J. Clark, President Federal Bar Association - Eastern District

worker has increased by 17.5 percent. A comparison of the purchasing power of today's judicial salaries with those that existed in 1969... reveals that real pay has declined 23.5 percent for district court and circuit court judges (and more for Supreme Court justices). Their current salaries would have to be raised by approximately 27 percent to recoup that loss.

Critics may reply that there is a price to public service, or that the "obscene" salaries of some lawyers cannot justify matching funds from the public treasury. Those critics, however, miss the point. The inequity arises by comparison not to the highest paid, but rather by contrast to the leaders of academia and not-for-profit institutions. In other words, those who engage in a different aspect of public service.

The consequence has been an increasing resignation rate of sitting judges in lieu of relative pay cuts coupled with burgeoning dockets. The Study notes:

3

Members of the Federal judiciary increasingly are choosing not to remain on the bench. Between 1990 and April 2003, 77 Article III judges resigned or retired from the bench, and 64 of them returned to work in the private sector. Those 77 judges account for more than half of the Article III judges who have left the bench since 1958; indeed, 22 of them have departed since January 1, 2000. Premature departures of experienced and capable judges impose both real and intangible costs upon the judiciary-especially now, when the workload has increased markedly.

At the same time, po-

tential candidates, facing

a grueling and often im-

possible confirmation pro-

cess, may find the pros-

pect of nomination less than the ultimate honor

only get worse, and appro-

priate action requires our

activity. The federal judiciary represents less than

1000 votes, but there are more than 1,000,000 law-

yers. Write to your repre-

sentative and senator.

Send them the FBA/ABA

at

Absent appropriate action, the problem can

that it is.



study (available, with related articles, www.fedbar.org), and establish your own linkage: between

24th Annual Dinner -Food, Fun, Fellowship

your vote and their response.

This profession can be exceedingly demanding, highly stressful and often contentious. At least for one night, however, all of that is forgotten. When the Federal Bar of the Eastern District of Michigan comes together to conduct its annual meeting and honor its wonderful judiciary, it's hard to imagine wanting to practice anywhere else.

This year, over 200 Judges, U.S. Attorneys, federal defenders, private practitioners, law clerks, summer associates and spouses/significant others gathered at the Detroit Athletic Club on May 7, 2003, to pay tribute to the Judicial Officers of the Eastern District of Michigan, conduct a little business and, above all else, have a good time with their fellow FBA members.

(see page 4)

Annual Dinner (continued)

The Annual Dinner was fortunate to host seventeen Judges. They had a chance to catch up with old friends, like retired District Judge Barbara Hackett, and spend some time with the newer members of the bench, like Magistrate Judge Steven Whalen and Bankruptcy Judge Marci McIvor. As in past years, once again, the Eastern District of Michigan received tremendous support from its law firms. Thanks in large part to the participation of sixteen "Sponsor Firms" of the evening, the FBA was able to contribute several thousand dollars to the Federal Bar Foundation. This year's sponsor firms were:

Barris, Sott, Denn & Driker Bodman, Longley & Dahling Brooks and Kushman, P.C Butzel Long **Dickinson Wright** Dykema, Gossett Foley & Lardner Honigman Miller Schwartz & Cohn Howard & Howard Miller Canfield Paddock & Stone Morganroth & Morganroth Pepper Hamilton Plunkett & Cooney Rader Fishman & Grauer Raymond & Prokop Charles J. Taunt & Associates

This year's Annual Dinner saw a departure from the traditional "rubber chicken circuit" to a more adventuresome chicken/pasta dish – with very positive reviews (so watch out for next year!). However, the FBA is well aware that there should be no tampering with some traditions. This year marked a decade of post-dinner entertainment by the legal community's musical sensation, A (Habeas) Chorus Line. The group once again performed hilarious satire lampooning a wide variety of targets both legal and non-legal, political, personal and professional – including such show-stoppers as "You're Never Fully Stressed Without a Trial" and "Master of the Law."

For 20 Years Experience & Personal Service Contact/Request Kelly Whitaker, CSR-0977

HANSON RENAISSANCE Court Reporters & Video

400 Renaisance Center, Suite 2160 Detroit, MI 48243-1608

Phone: **(313) 567-8100** Toll-Free: **1-888-800-0876** Fax: (313) 567-4362

email: hansonren@aol.com or kelley@l-ls.com

Perhaps the only thing that could top the show was the awarding of the Service Recognition awards to Charles Rutherford and Richard Tarnas, each for their **FORTY YEARS** of service to the FBA.

The Annual Dinner is also the time that the reins of Chapter leadership are turned over. The "Kudos Award" went to outgoing President Christine M. Dowhan-Bailey for her tremendous leadership of the Chapter this past year. Chris is a tireless worker and great visionary who truly was devoted to moving the Chapter forward. We are fortunate to have a very similar personality in Dennis J. Clark who is taking over and leading us this coming year.

Special thanks are owed to Committee Co-Chairs Laurie Michelson and Kristin Dighe for continuing to make the Annual Dinner such a tremendous success. Thanks too to all those who sacrificed late rounds of "The Bachelorette" and "American Idol" to attend the Annual Dinner and look forward to gathering again in May 2004.

From Court Administrator Dave Weaver

Because Chief Judge Lawrence P. Zatkoff will be providing a detailed overview of activity in the Court in his Annual State of the Court Speech on September 16, 2003, this article will be brief.

As discussed in more detail in the article below, the implementation of the new Case Management / Electronic Case Files (CM/ECF) system began in January 2004. The Court will "go live" with the Case Management or CM portion of the system internally in November 2003. The Electronic Case Files or ECF portion of the system, which will allow attorneys to file papers with the Court electronic cally via the Internet, will "go live" in March 2004.

The Bench has been very supportive of this project and is hard at work developing the necessary Local Rules, policies and procedures for electronic filing. Court staff have been working tirelessly to ensure a successful transition. Please check the Court's Web Site at <u>www.mied.uscourts.gov</u> for regular updates on the implementation of CM/ECF, general information and training opportunities.

Please send any questions or comments to me at mie fba@mied.uscourts.gov.

Electronic Case Filing System (ECF) To Go Live On March 1, 2004 By: Daniel J. LaCombe

The Eastern District of Michigan will be welcoming all practitioners to the 21st Century effective March 1, 2004, when it goes live with the Eastern District of Michigan's Electronic Case Filing System (ECF). An *ad hoc* committee has drafted a proposed local rule and is nearing completion of the associated Filing Policies and Procedures Manual.

The ECF system will allow internet filing of most papers after a case has been initiated. The complaint and other initiating papers will continue to be filed in hard copy with an electronic copy on CD or diskette. Tentatively, the exceptions to e-filing are limited to papers that are sealed, filings *in camera*, state court records and other Rule 5 material under § 2254, administrative records in Social Security cases, papers over 200 pages, and warrants and grand jury papers. The vast majority of routine filings may therefore be filed electronically.

Use of the e-filing system initially will be voluntary. Those who wish to use the system must have four things: 1) internet access; 2) a PACER account; 3) an ECF account with the Eastern District of Michigan; and 4) certification of completion of ECF user training. It is anticipated that users will have the option of filing electronically or in the traditional manner from March 1, 2004 until September 1, 2004. Registered ECF users must then file electronically, subject to the specified exceptions and system availability.

The advantages of ECF include remote access to the Eastern District's Clerk's office for routine filings; immediate, automatic electronic notice of filing; immediate, electronic access to filed papers; and significant reduction of paper and postage.

The Clerk of the Eastern District expects to have a training environment available in the fall of 2003. We will keep members posted of training developments. For those of you who have already entered the 21st century, more information on this and many other topics is available at the Eastern District's web site: www.mied.uscourts.gov. Unlike many district courts across the country, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan does not have a local rule governing the form of parties' factual submissions supporting or opposing summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56. *See, e.g.,* Northern District of Illinois Local Rule 56.1; Eastern District of Virginia Local Rule 56(b); Central District of California Local Rule 56-1, 56-2; Southern District of New York Local Civil Rule 56.1. The format of the submissions required by these local rules varies from district to district.

This article suggests that the Eastern District of Michigan should adopt a local rule based upon Local Rule 56.1 for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois ("Local Rule 56.1").² That rule requires that a party moving for summary judgment present a separate statement of uncontested facts, consisting of short numbered paragraphs containing citations to admissible evidence in the record supporting each fact.

The party opposing summary judgment must file a statement responding to each numbered paragraph and, unless the asserted fact is admitted, containing citations to admissible evidence in the record contradicting the asserted fact. The respondent may also present a statement of additional facts supporting the denial of summary judgment in the same format, and the movant must respond similarly. Any facts properly asserted and supported in these statements that are not properly responded to are deemed admitted. The purpose of the summary judgment procedure authorized by Rule 56 is to dispose of actions where there is no genuine issue of material fact or where the case turns completely on a question of law. WRIGHT, MILLER & KANE, FEDERAL PRAC-TICE AND PROCEDURE CIVIL 3D § 2712. A local rule governing the format of the parties' factual submissions "facilitates the court's ability to smoke out whether genuine issues of material fact do or do not exist." Ryerson & Sons, Inc. v. Plastech Engineered Prods., Inc., Case No. 01 C 5878, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11963, *4, n 3 (N.D. Ill. July 14, 2003).

Local Rule 56.1 makes clear that it is the obligation of the proponent of any factual assertion to identify admis-

(see page 6)

"Judges Are Not Like Pigs, Hunting For Truffles Buried In Briefs,"¹...And Lawyers Should Not Have To Be Either. By: Josh J. Moss*

[Ed. Note: The Local Rule 56.1 referred to throughout this article is a local rule of the U. S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The rule may be found online at page 38 of the PDF document which is located at http://www.ilnd.uscourts.gov/LEGAL/NewRules/ locrul03.pdf]



5

Black

Briefs (continued)

sible evidence in the record supporting that assertion. Once such a rule is adopted, it should be clear to litigants that it is not the Court's obligation to scour the record to determine whether factual assertions made in a brief are based upon admissible evidence. *Tan v. City of Chicago*, Case No. 00 C 1470, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13926 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 30, 2001).

As Judge Shadur of the Northern District of Illinois stated in *Ryerson*, "Judges are not like pigs, hunting for truffles buried in briefs." *Ryerson*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11963, *4, n. 3 (quoting *Dunkel*, 927 F.2d at 956).

Local Rule 56.1 does not change the quantum or type of evidence necessary to defeat summary judgment. Rather, the rule simply governs the format of the parties' submissions. Although the rule has been adopted for the convenience of the courts, it also makes moving for, and responding to, summary judgment a more clearly defined task. The strict format requiring numbered paragraphs in the movant's statement and matching responses, like a complaint and answer, conveniently identifies the facts upon which each party is relying. The requirement that each numbered paragraph contain citations to the record supporting the factual assertion or denial allows the court and the parties to quickly locate the relied upon portion of record and analyze the validity of the assertion or denial.

Without a local rule governing how the facts developed in discovery are presented to the Court, an attorney is, in some measure, free to assert facts that he believes are supported by his general recollection of the record developed during discovery without supporting each specific fact with citations to the record. Such imprecise lawyering shifts the burden of finding evidence in the record supporting the asserted fact to the person opposing the assertion. The person opposing the factual assertion must first comb through the record to determine if there is admissible evidence supporting the assertion before he can turn his attention to the task of finding admissible evidence that contradicts the fact. By shifting this burden, the proponent of that fact has avoided his obligation to present evidence supporting the asserted fact and increased the costs to his opponent. This is neither efficient nor fair.

In practice, Local Rule 56.1 often gives rise to motions to strike some or all of the facts asserted by a party in its statement of undisputed facts or response thereto. Sometimes a party will fail to adhere to the required format completely. *See, e.g., Ryerson*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11963, *4 (movant failed completely to file a statement of undisputed facts as required by local Rule 56.1).

More often, however, a party opposing summary judgment will fail to properly respond to the movant's statement of facts by failing to cite to specific portions of the record contradicting the movant's assertions of fact, by citing to portions of the record that do not support the denial of a fact, or by citing to evidence that would not be admissible on the issue at trial. *See, e.g., Lombardi v. Range*,

Case No. 01 C 6444, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12812 * 2, n. 1 (N.D. Ill. July 22, 2003); Tan, 2001 U.S. Dist LEXIS 13926, * 8-9 (movant's factual assertions admitted where respondent failed to identify specific facts supporting his denial of nine paragraphs in movant's statement of undisputed facts); Fink v. Winnebago County Sheriff Correction Medical Services, Inc., Case No. 99 C 50090, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2267, *6-7 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 11, 2002) (respondent's Local Rule 56.1(b)(3) response to movant's statement of undisputed facts found insufficient where respondent often cited to portions of the record that did not support her denial or assertion and often denied facts without any supporting record citation); Naughton v. Lebbad, Case No. 02 C 4761, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2331 *4 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 13, 2003) (respondent failed to cite admissible evidence in her Local Rule 56.1(b)(3) response).

While at first glance a rule that tends to generate motions to strike may seem inefficient, in practice the rule promotes efficiency by allowing parties to address issues of admissibility and relevance separately from the legal merits of the motion for summary judgment. *See, e.g., Lambersky v. Petritis*, Case No. 01 C 3544, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10481 (N.D. Ill. June 10, 2002) (dealing separately with issues raised in motion to strike respondent's Local Rule 56.1(b)(3) statement and motion for summary judgment).³ This allows the Court to more quickly and accurately determine whether there are genuine issues of material fact for trial. Accordingly, this helps to clear the docket of cases that do not warrant a trial, enabling the Court to bring triable cases to trial faster.

*Josh J. Moss is an attorney with the Detroit office of Barris, Sott, Denn & Driker, P.L.L.C.

1 United States v. Dunkel, 927 F.2d 955, 956 (7th Cir. 1991).

2 U.S. District Judge Avern Cohn's standing order regarding summary judgment requires parties to follow the format set forth in Local Rule 56.1.

3 The district court has the discretion whether to strictly apply Local Rule 56.1 or not. *Bordelon v. Chicago School Reform Brd. of Trs.*, 233 F.3d 524, 529 (7th Cir. 2000). The Seventh Circuit routinely affirms the district court's decision to require strict conformity to the rule. *Id.*

An Evening In Honor Of Judge Shapero

By: Marion J. Mack, Jr.*

The wonderful tribute to Bankruptcy Judge Walter Shapero at the Renaissance Marriott on June 3, 2003, was the most dignified and sophisticated event that I have had the pleasure of attending in the twenty years that I have been associated with the Chapter's bankruptcy community. The event was a true reflection of the man we were all there, nearly 300 strong, to honor.

Before he would agree to a dinner and tribute in his honor, Judge Shapero requested three things: First, all visiting bankruptcy judges who voluntarily served the Eastern District during a two-year period of transition had to be honored as well; next, the three newly appointed bankruptcy judges in our District had to be officially recognized; and, finally, the event had to be held in a location characteristic and symbolic of the rich diversity of southeastern Michigan. These three prerequisites speak volumes about the character of Judge Shapero and, consequently, the event honoring him.

The personal tributes given by his two sons were very telling in that the love and affection they displayed publicly for their father reflected his presence in their upbringing. The professional tributes offered by Chief Judge Boyce Martin of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, Judge David Lawson of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Chief Judge Steven Rhodes of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Chief Judge James Gregg of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Michigan, and Lawrence Friedman of the Executive Office for United States Trustees, were all reflections of the positive impact and influence that Judge Shapero has had on this District and on his colleagues in the Sixth Circuit for fifteen years as a sitting bankruptcy judge.

During the dinner and program I sat with bankruptcy court personnel and trustees who work in the Bay City and Flint court locations. They all made very flattering comments about Judge Shapero and the positive impact his presence is having in their respective court locations. Although some of them had driven more than two hours to get there that evening and had to be at work early the next morning, not one left early. They all seemed genuinely happy and

eager to honor Judge Shapero in a manner and style he so richly deserves.

Certain events are especially memorable for one reason or another. The festive affair given in honor of Judge Shapero is one such event. It afforded all of us a much-needed opportunity to reflect. After the program concluded, I found myself lingering in the banquet room in an effort to soak up some of the positive energy generated by the event. The truth of the matter is that I did not want to leave. The affair was just that refreshing. Later, a private word with Judge Shapero was the finishing touch to a perfect evening.

Stuart Gold and Wallace Handler deserve commendation for spearheading the planning committee and working unstintingly in putting together the event. The efforts of the committee and the character of the honoree made for a winning combination and a fabulous evening.

*Marion J. Mack, Jr. is Assistant U. S. Trustee



Judy Christie Retires From Clerk's Office By: John Mayer

Twenty-four years ago, at a social gathering, I met Ed Heubel, the chair of the political science department at

Judy Christie

Oakland University. As we talked, he grew more and more interested in what was then the relatively new field of court administration. A few months later, he referred one of his students to me for an internship in the Clerk's Office. Judy Christie was that student. Following her internship, she came to work in the Clerk's Office full-time. On April 29, 2003, at a ceremony and reception in Room 115 of the U. S. Courthouse, more than a hundred of us celebrated her retirement as Administrative Manager after 23 years with



Through all this time, Judy has been a mainstay of the FBA New Lawyers Seminar. In that context, she has been the first contact with the Clerk's Office for thousands of new lawyers who have attended the Seminar. For most of that time, Immediate Past President Chris Dowhan-Bailey co-chaired the Seminar along with Sixth Circuit Vice Presidents past and present, Geneva Halliday and Brian Figot.

In retirement, Judy takes with her something even more valuable than her knowledge of Court history and procedures. She cares



Judy Christie at her retirement reception.

Christie (continued)

personally about the Court records and their accessibility to judges, lawyers and the public. She has demonstrated that caring in her work from day to day over 23 years.

On behalf of the Eastern District of Michigan Chapter of FBA, we thank her for all she has done to help make our Clerk's Office the place where members of the legal community prefer to do court business. We are delighted to hear that she will continue to manage the oral history program of our sister organization, the Court Historical Society. We wish her well in all that she does in the future.

Gilman Award Luncheon



U.S. District Judge Denise Page Hood

The Leonard R. Gilman Award Luncheon was held on April 15, 2002, at the Crowne Plaza Ponchartrain Hotel. The luncheon is held annually in honor of Leonard R. Gilman, a former United States Attorney.

Ronald E. Covault was this year's recipient of the Gilman Award. Covault has spent nearly his entire career in the prosecutor's office, most recently as the Deputy Prosecuting County for Litiga-

tion in the Oakland County Prosecutor's Office. Oakland County Executive L. Brooks Patterson, who worked with him for several years in the Oakland County Prosecutor's



Oakland County Executive L. Brooks Patterson and Gilman Award Recipient Ronald Covault

Office, was on hand to introduce Covault. Patterson detailed how Covault exemplifies the values of the Gilman Award by being an effective advocate for justice with a high degree of integrity balanced with compassion and respect. Patterson punctuated his introduction with personal

> stories and a hearty dose of humor. Christine Dowhan-Bailey, FBA Chapter President, presented Covault with the Gilman

> Also honored at the luncheon were attorneys who have pro-

> vided pro bono services

for the Eastern District

of Michigan. U.S. Dis-

trict Judge Denise Page

Hood presented the at-

torneys with awards,

thanked them for their

important contribution

to the district, and en-

couraged all those

Award.



U.S. District Judge Patrick J. Duggan

present to answer the call to provide pro bono services.

U.S. District Judge Patrick J. Duggan gave the keynote address which concluded with a replay of the famous, or infamous, song by the Capital Steps about what it is really like to be a federal judge. It was a fitting end to a luncheon which no doubt would have made Len Gilman smile.

FBA Newsletter

Million Dollar Courtroom Shines Again

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan is holding an open house in the Chief Judge's Courtroom on the 7th floor of the Theodore Levin United States Courthouse on September 4, 2003 at 4:00 p.m. The Bar and public are invited. Light refreshments will be served.

The historic courtroom, also known as the "Million Dollar Courtroom," was originally built as part of the U.S. Post Office and Courthouse that served the Court from 1897 to 1931. Then Chief Judge Arthur J. Tuttle ensured that the courtroom was dismantled, stored and rebuilt in the current courthouse that opened in 1934. The courtroom received its sobriquet from a journalist who estimated that it would cost \$1,000.000 to build



the courtroom from scratch at that time.

The Court Legacy, the Court Historical Society newsletter, published articles on the courtroom in its September 2001 and September 2002 issues. Back issues of The Court Legacy may be viewed on the Society's website at www.mied.uscourts.gov/_historical/newspdf/

A significant restoration project was recently completed in the "Million Dollar Courtroom" that included the cleaning and repairing of 17 types of marble in the courtroom, the addition of new carpet and jury chairs, and the refinishing of the woodwork. Most striking is the addition of historic lighting fixtures throughout the courtroom including a central chandelier.



Past President's Luncheon

dent Joe Dillon, and presided over by the Chapters 43rd and 44th presidents, Christine Dowhan-Bailey and Dennis Clark. Among those present were Wallace D. Riley, Dick Tarnas and Russell Paquette, each of whom stand among the founders of our Chapter.

Past Presidents Luncheon

The Past Presidents of the Chapter meet with the current officers of the Chapter each year, prior to the Annual

Dinner, in order to serve in their formal role as the heart of

the Chapter's Nominating Committee, charged with the duty

of designating the nominees for each upcoming vacant of-

fice. This year, the meeting was held on April 30; and was

generously and graciously hosted, once again, by past presi-

Immigration Seminar Scheduled For November

The Federal Bar Association, in conjunction with the American Immigration Lawyers Association, will sponsor a seminar on Immigration Law and related Federal Law issues. The afternoon seminar and reception will be held in November, 2003, at the University of Detroit Mercy School of Law. The program topics will include Constitutional Law and Civil Liberties Issues after 9/11, Aggravated Felony in Immigration Law, Immigration Court Update, and Federal Court Habeas Corpus Hearings. Look for updates regarding the specific date, time, and speakers for the seminar.

The Federal Bar Association welcomes the following new Law Clerks for the Eastern District and the Sixth Circuit:

Chief Judge Zatkoff Jeff Gray - Michigan State University-Detroit College of Law

Judge Feikens Valerie Brader - University of Michigan Law School Dana Rundlof - University of Michigan Law School

Judge Cook Santosh Aravind - University of Michigan Law School

Judge Cohn Justin T. Arbes - University of Illinois Law School

Judge Duggan Lauren N. Mandel - American University, Washington College of Law

Judge Gadola Monica Secord - Ave Maria School of Law

Judge Friedman Greta A. Jacobs - Northwestern University School of Law

Judge Cleland Keith E. Eastland - Notre Dame Law School

Judge Edmunds Windy L. Watson - Loyola Law School

Judge Borman Heather McCann - University of Michigan Law School David Dyki - Wayne State University Law School

Judge O'Meara Kevin Plumstead - Wayne State University Law School

Judge Tarnow Barbara Miltner - University of Michigan Law School Loretha Barnett - University of Oklahoma Law School

Judge Roberts Derek McLeod - Northeastern Law School

Judge Battani Franics Franze - University of Michigan Law School

Judge Lawson

Kelly J. Savage - Ohio Northern University College of Law

Judge Kennedy Kristen Hunter – Boston University School of Law Jan Geht – University of Michigan Law School

Judge Keith

Sunita Kini - Wayne State University Law School Katrice Bridges - University of Michigan Law School Jerome Gorgon - University of Michigan Law School

Judge Ryan

Sean M. Lewis - University of Michigan Law School Bradley M. Cowan - Ave Maria School of Law



Julia Caroff Pidgeon, Mark A. Goldsmith (Chapter Treasurer), Judge George Carem Steeh III, Dennis Clark (Chapter President), Judge Robert Cleland, and Dennis Barnes (Chapter President Elect) at the Summer Associate/ Intern Luncheon

Summer Associate/Intern Luncheon

The Chapter held its first annual FBA Summer Associate/Intern Luncheon on July 9, 2003 at the Theodore Levin U.S. Courthouse in downtown Detroit. The luncheon was attended by 156 people, including eight Federal District Judges. Speakers included Judge Robert H. Cleland, who discussed the Court's forthcoming electronic docketing system, and Judge George Caram Steeh III, who spoke about common mistakes lawyers make. Attendees were also given a tour of the Chief Judge's "Million Dollar Courtroom." For more information about this program, please contact Goldsmith 313-465-7396 or Mark at MAG@honigman.com.



FBA Newsletter

Black

Sustaining Members

Sustaining members support the Chapter by paying annual dues of \$100. Their support is acknowledged here and in the website and event programs.

Elisa Angeli Joseph Aviv Dennis M. Barnes Michael Baum John Berg Thomas J. Budzynski Lawrence G. Campbell Lawrence S. Charfoos Dennis J. Clark Hon. Avern Cohn Martin E. Crandall Thomas W. Cranmer Rebecca S. Davies Christine Dowhan-Bailey **Eugene Driker** David DuMouchel Cameron J. Evans Alan G. Gilchrist Mark A. Goldsmith Deborah L. Gordon **Ray Reynolds Graves** John P. Guenther Alan C. Harnisch Robert S. Harrison John P. Jacobs Lira A. Johnson Jon H. Kingsepp

Gene R. Kohut Daniel J. LaCombe Brian M. Legghio Robert J. Lenihan, III Charles L. Levin Richard M. Lustig Daniel P. Malone John Mayer Hon, Fred M. Mester Mayer Morganroth Kenneth A. Nathan Leonard M. Niehoff Thomas C. O'Brien David H. Oermann Arvin J. Pearlman Julia Caroff Pidgeon Margaret S. Raben Ralph Terrance Rader Steven M. Ribiat Adam Rivera Miriam Siefer Sharon Noll Smith James C. Thomas L Rodger Webb I. W. Winsten Rodger D. Young

Calendar of Events

- September 4 Chief Judge's Courtroom Open House 4:00 PM room 733 U. S. Courthouse
- September 16 State of the Court Luncheon Crowne Plaza Pontchartrain 11:30 AM Contact: Julia Blakeslee at (248) 855-6729.
- November 18 Rakow Scholarship Awards Luncheon Crowne Plaza Pontchartrain 11:30 AM *Contact: Julia Blakeslee at* (248) 855-6729.
- **November** Immigration Law Seminar (details to be announced)
- December 9-10 New Lawyers Seminar 8:30 AM room 115 U. S. Courthouse

11

New Chapter Members Since January 1, 2003

Michelle Alamo Omar Anderson Sue Barnes Jacqueline Bayley James Bellanca Shlome Benezra Rvan Berman Raimi Blackerby Melissa Brown Carrie Bryant Ryann Bunch Steven Burgess Karin Butchko Shannon Bybee David Campbell Andrea Cartwright Arni Chambers Michelle Crockett Brigid Cronin Kathryn Cushman **Rebecca Davies** Michael DiLaura Angela Dragan Ralph Engle Karen Evangelista Mary Fair-Matthews John Fedynsky Deborah Fish Jon Fisher Erica Fitzgerald Jonathan Fountain Nathan French Philip Frost Josef Funchess Christine Gale Norton Gappy Jennifer Gasiecki Ebony Gerwin Rodney Glusac Deborah Gordon Joseph Grekin Kara Guminski Jill Gurfinkel Trish Haas Aaron Halvas Benjamin Hammond David Haron Mokha Harpreet Scott Haskins Jennifer Hastings Charles Hodgson Shaju Jacob Jennifer Jenkins Mike Jones Kristie Killough-Ali Brenda Klask Robert Klautky Michelle Knight April Knoch **Robert Krause** Ari Kresch Carolyon Krieg Jennifer Kuchon Luanne Laemmerman Lewis Langham Jr.

Ricardo Lara Jr. Darin LeBeau Michael Leib Luann Linsalata Michelle Loggins Roger Mali Vincenzo Manzella Thad McCollum Melanie McKenzie Keith Metz Rob Metzgar Nannette Meyette Thomas Miller Dana Millikin **Rick Mills** Matthew Misiak Robin Mocabee Majad Moughni Carmen Moyer Kristin Murphy Monica Navarro Kathleen Nesi Jennifer Leigh Neumann Joey Niskar Ian Northon Thomas Norton Daniel Orsini Christine Pfeiffer Andrea Pisani Jaimie Powell **Evan Pozios** Bryan Proctor Terrence Quinn Sarah Radke **Racheal Rancilio** Joseph Rejano Garrett Rentrop Jacqueline Salloum Stacey Sanford Thomas Schehr Mindy Schwartz David Selwocki Lauren Shepherd Lisa Short Jessica Simmons Dawn Snyder Guy Sohou Marilyn Somers Paul Stablein Bethany Sweeny Matthew Thompson Carrie Thorpe Sydney Turner Sepideh Venier **Bree Vincent** Williamson Wallace Kelly Walters Timothy Washburn Tasha Washington David Watson Arthur Weiss R. Steven Whalen Antonia Whittier Matthew Woodworth Nicole Wright

Federal Bar Association Eastern District of Michigan Chapter P.O. Box 310610 Detroit, MI 48231-0610

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

PRESORTED STANDARD U.S. Postage PAID Wyandotte, MI PERMIT #177

Officers:

Dennis J. Clark President Julia Caroff Pidgeon Vice President

Christine Dowhan-Bailey

Sixth Circuit Vice President

Mark A. Goldsmith Treasurer Dennis M. Barnes

Immediate Past President Grant P. Gilezan Secretary

Brian D. Figot

Julia F. Blakeslee Program Chair

Executive Board:

President Elect

Elisa M. Angeli Lynn M Brimer Honorable Wallace Capel, Jr. Honorable Robert H. Cleland Krishna S. Dighe Claretta Evans Karen Gibbs Daniel J. LaCombe David A. Lerner Barbara L. McQuade Laurie J. Michelson Stephen J. Murphy III Michael J. Riordan Barbara Rom Miriam L. Siefer Honorable George C. Steeh Dona A. Tracey Stephen F. Wasinger

Newsletter Committee:

Elisa M. Angeli, Co-Editor in Chief Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone (313) 496-7635

Michael J. Riordan, Co-Editor in Chief Assistant United States Attorney (313) 226-9602

Christine M. Dowhan-Bailey U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (313) 226-6822

Kimberly G. Altman Law Clerk to U.S. District Judge Avern Cohn (313) 234-5160

Dennis J. Clark Plunkett & Cooney (313) 983-4768

Brian D. Figot Stephen M. Landau, P.C. (248) 358-0870

Christine Farinola Law Clerk to U.S. Magistrate Judge Paul J. Komives (313) 234-5200

Thomas M. Schehr Dykema Gossett, PLLC (313) 568-6659

Black

Ann Marie Uetz Foley & Lardner (313) 963-9648